



FISCAL CRISIS & MANAGEMENT
ASSISTANCE TEAM

August 9, 2024

Lauren Daley, Foundation Co-President
Jolene Yee, Foundation Co-President
Cathy Adams, Head of School

Mayacamas Countywide Middle School
983 Napa St.
Napa, CA 94559

Subject: Facility Inspection

Dear Co-Presidents Daley and Yee and Head of School Adams,

The Napa County Office of Education contracted with the Fiscal Crisis and Management Assistance Team (FCMAT) to perform the county office's statutorily required oversight responsibilities for Mayacamas Countywide Middle School. As part of this oversight, FCMAT conducted a facility inspection before the start of the school year to ensure that the charter school's facilities are clean, safe, and functional. The following information summarizes the charter school's facility conditions evaluation for the 2024-25 school year.

FCMAT used the most recent version of the Facilities Inspection Tool (FIT), which was developed by the Office of Public School Construction (OPSC). The OPSC established the FIT to determine if a school facility is in "good repair" as defined by Education Code 17002(d)(1). This tool serves as the uniform definition of good repair, designed to help ensure that all California school children have access to clean, safe, and functional school facilities.

The FIT is not intended to determine the need for capital improvements; rather, it captures a visual inspection to identify areas of a school site that require repair. It does not address the age of supporting equipment or infrastructure, nor does the tool consider the charter school's maintenance or replacement plans. The FIT provides a real-time evaluation of the facility. It encompasses 15 disciplines grouped into eight categories: systems, interior, cleanliness, electrical, restrooms and fountains, safety, structural and external. A school site can achieve a good to exemplary FIT rating through a good maintenance schedule.

FCMAT visited Mayacamas Countywide Middle School on August 7, 2024. The facility inspection was conducted by FCMAT Chief Analysts Carolynne Beno and Robbie Montalbano and Jeremy Smith and Greg Marshall from the Napa County Office of Education. The team visited all classrooms, restrooms, offices, the hall, playground/school grounds, and the library. Overall, the facility and grounds are in good repair. FCMAT did not note any "extreme deficiencies" on the FIT, but "deficiencies" were noted in the following categories:

- Electrical.
- Restrooms.
- Sinks/fountains.

Prior to school starting on August 14, 2024, the school needs to do the following:

- Replace the broken plate cover for the light sensor in the men's restroom in the hall.
- Repair or remove the desk with the broken shelf in the library.

FCMAT also noted that some toilets in the men's and women's restrooms in the main school building are leaking and need to be repaired. Most urgently, three of the five toilets in the women's restroom are leaking, with two currently out of service. The third toilet is both leaking and the mounting appears to be loose. This toilet should be placed out of service until it is inspected and repaired.


The FIT's eight categories were averaged to calculate an overall rating. Please see the attached FIT evaluation for additional information. The rating for Mayacamas Countywide Middle School is as follows:

Score	Rating
92.51%	Good

We wish to extend our gratitude to Cathy Adams for welcoming the inspection team on your campus and for working to ensure that the students attending Mayacamas Countywide Middle School have a clean, safe and functional school.

If you have any questions regarding the attached report, please contact me at (530) 723-3892 or cbeno@fcmat.org.

Sincerely,



Carolynne Beno, Ed.D., CFE

FCMAT Chief Analyst

Attachment: Mayacamas Countywide Middle School FIT Evaluation

CC: Roslynne Manansala-Smith, Intervention Specialist, FCMAT

Joshua Schultz, Deputy Superintendent, Napa County Office of Education

GENERAL INFORMATION

The Facility Inspection Tool (FIT) has been developed by the Office of Public School Construction to determine if a school facility is in "good repair" as defined by Education Code (EC) Section 17002(d)(1) and to rate the facility pursuant to EC Section 17002(d)(2). The tool is designed to identify areas of a school site that are in need of repair based upon a visual inspection of the site. In addition, the EC specifies the tool should not be used to require capital enhancements beyond the standards to which the facility was designed and constructed.

Good repair is defined to mean that the facility is maintained in a manner that ensures that it is clean, safe, and functional. As part of the school accountability report card, school districts and county offices of education are required to make specified assessments of school conditions including the safety, cleanliness, and adequacy of school facilities and needed maintenance to ensure good repair. In addition, beginning with the 2005/2006 fiscal year, school districts and county offices of education must certify that a facility inspection system has been established to ensure that each of its facilities is maintained in good repair in order to participate in the School Facility Program and the Deferred Maintenance Program. This tool is intended to assist school districts and county offices of education in that determination.

County superintendents are required to annually visit the schools in the county of his or her office as determined by EC Section 1240. Further, EC Section 1240(c)(2)(I), states the priority objective of the visits made shall be to determine the status of the condition of a facility that poses an emergency or urgent threat to the health or safety of pupils or staff as defined in district policy, or as defined by EC Section 17592.72(c) and the accuracy of data reported on the school accountability report card with the respect to the safety, cleanliness, and adequacy of school facilities, including good repair as required by EC Sections 17014, 17032.5, 17070.75, and 17089. This tool is also intended to assist county offices of education in performing these functions.

The EC also allows individual entities to adopt a local evaluation instrument to be used in lieu of the FIT provided the local instrument meets the criteria specified in EC Section 17002(d) and as implemented in the FIT. Any evaluation instrument adopted by the local educational agency for purpose of determining whether a school facility is maintained in good repair may include any number of additional items but must minimally include the criteria and rating scheme contained in the FIT.

USER INSTRUCTIONS

The FIT is comprised of three parts as follows:

Part I, Good Repair Standard outlines the school facility systems and components, as specified in EC Section 17002(d)(1), that should be considered in the inspection of a school facility to ensure it is maintained in a manner that assures it is clean, safe and functional. Each of the 15 sections in the Good Repair Standard provides a description of a minimum standard of good repair for various school facility categories. Each section also provides examples of clean, safe and functional conditions. The list of examples is not exhaustive. If an evaluator notes a condition that is not mentioned in the examples but constitutes a deficiency, the evaluator can note such deficiency in the applicable category as "other."

Some of the conditions cited in the Good Repair Standard represent items that are critical to the health and safety of pupils and staff. Any deficiencies in these items require immediate attention and, if left unmitigated, could cause severe and immediate injury, illness or death of the occupants. They constitute extreme deficiencies and indicate that the particular building system evaluated failed to meet the standard of good repair at that school site. These critical conditions are identified with underlined text followed by an (X) on the Good Repair Standard. If the underlined statement is not true, then there is an extreme deficiency (to be marked as an "X" on the Evaluation Detail) resulting in a "poor" rating for the applicable category. It is important to note that the list of extreme deficiencies noted in the Good Repair Standard is not exhaustive. Any other deficiency not included in the criteria but meeting the definition above can be noted by the evaluator and generate a poor rating.

Part II, Evaluation Detail is a site inspection template to be used to evaluate the areas of a school on a category by category basis. The design of the inspection template allows for the determination of the scope of conditions across campus. In evaluating each area or space, the user should review each of the 15 categories identified in the Good Repair Standard and make a determination of whether a particular area is in good repair. Once the determination is made, it should be recorded on the Evaluation Detail, as follows:

OK	No Deficiency - Good Repair: Mark "OK" if all statements in the Good Repair Standard are true, and there is no indication of a deficiency in the specific category.
D	Deficiency: Mark "D" if one or more statement(s) in the Good Repair Standard for the specific category is not true, or if there is other clear evidence of the need for repair.
X	Extreme Deficiency: Indicate "X" if the area has a deficiency that is considered an "Extreme Deficiency" in the Good Repair Standard or there is a condition that qualifies as an extreme deficiency but is not noted in the Good Repair Standard.
NA	Not Applicable: If the Good Repair Standard category (building system or component) does not exist in the area evaluated, mark "NA".

Below are suggested methods for evaluating various systems and areas:

- **Gas and Sewer** are major building systems that may span the entire school campus but may not be evident as applicable building systems in each classroom or common areas. However, because a deficiency in either of these systems could become evident and present a health and safety threat anywhere on campus, the user should not mark "NA" and should instead include an evaluation of these systems in each building space.
- **Roofs** can be easily evaluated for stand alone areas, such as portable classrooms. For permanent buildings containing several areas to be evaluated, roofs should be considered as parts of individual areas in order to accurately account for a scope of any roofing deficiency. For example, a 10 classroom building contains damaged gutters on one side of the building, spanning across five classrooms. Therefore, an evaluator should mark five classrooms as deficient in the roof category and the other five classrooms as in good repair, assuming there are no other visible deficiencies related to roofing.
- **Overall Cleanliness** is intended to be used to evaluate the cleanliness of each space. For example, a user should note a deficiency due to dirty surfaces in Overall Cleanliness, rather than **Interior Surfaces**. At the same time, the user should note such deficiency only in Overall Cleanliness in order to avoid accounting for such deficiency twice, i.e. in two sections.
- The tool is designed to evaluate stand-alone restrooms as separate areas. However, restrooms contained within other spaces, such as a kindergarten classroom or a library, can be evaluated as part of that area under Restrooms. If the area evaluated does not contain a restroom, Restrooms should be marked "NA."
- **Drinking fountains** can exist within individual classrooms or areas, right outside of classrooms or restrooms or other areas, or as stand alone fixtures on playgrounds and sports fields. If a drinking fountain or a set of fountains is located inside a building or immediately outside the area being evaluated, it should be included in the evaluation of that area under Drinking Fountains. If a fountain is located on the school grounds, it should be evaluated as part of that outside space. If there is no drinking fountain in the area evaluated, Drinking Fountains should be marked "NA."
- **Playgrounds/School Grounds**, should be evaluated as separate areas by dividing a campus into sections with defined borders. In this case, several sections of the good repair criteria would not apply to the evaluation, as they do not exist outside of physical building areas, such as **Structural Damage** and **Fire Safety**, for example.

Part III includes the **Category Totals and Ranking**, the **Overall Rating**, and a section for **Comments and Rating Explanation**.

Once the inspector completes the site inspection, he or she must total the number of areas evaluated. The inspector must also count all of the spaces deemed in good repair, deficient, extremely deficient, or not applicable under each of the 15 sections. Next, the evaluator must determine the condition of each section by taking the ratio of the number of areas deemed in good repair to the number of areas being evaluated (after subtracting non-applicable spaces from the total number of areas evaluated). If any of the 15 sections received a rating of extreme deficiency, the ratio (i.e., the percentage of good repair) for that section and the category the section is in should default to zero. The total percent per category (A through H) is determined by the total of all percentages of systems in good repair divided by the number of sections in that category. For example, to determine the total percent for the Structural category, add the percentages for the Structural Damage and Roof sections and divide the result by two.

Next, the overall school site score is determined by computing the average percentage rating of the eight categories (i.e., the total of all percentages divided by eight). Finally, the rater should determine the overall School Rating by applying the Percentage Range in the table provided in Part III to the average percentage calculated and taking into consideration the Rating Description provided in the same table.

*Although the FIT is designed to evaluate each school site within a reasonable range of facility conditions, it is possible that an evaluator may identify critical facility conditions that result in an Overall School Rating that does not reflect the urgency and severity of those deficiencies and/or does not match the rating's Description in Part III. In such instances, the evaluator may reduce the resulting school score by one or more grade categories and describe the reasons for the reduction in the space provided for Comments and Rating Explanation.

When completing Part III of the FIT, the inspector should note the date and time of the inspection as well as weather conditions and any other pertinent inspection information in the specific areas provided and utilize the Comments and Rating Explanation Section if needed.

When completing Part III of the FIT, the school district should be provided the opportunity to provide comments and utilize the Comments and Rating Explanation Section if needed.

PART I: GOOD REPAIR STANDARD

(X): If underlined statement is not true, then this is an extreme deficiency (marked as an "X") on the Evaluation Detail resulting in a "poor" rating for the applicable category.

Gas Leaks

Gas systems and pipes appear safe, functional, and free of leaks.
Examples include but are not limited to the following:

- a. There is no odor that would indicate a gas leak. (X)
- b. Gas pipes are not broken and appear to be in good working order. (X)
- c. Other

Mechanical Systems

Heating, ventilation, and air conditioning systems (HVAC) as applicable are functional and unobstructed. Examples include but are not limited to the following:

- a. The HVAC system is operable. (X)
- b. The facilities are ventilated (via mechanical or natural ventilation).
- c. The ventilation units are unobstructed and vents and grills are without evidence of excessive dirt or dust.
- d. There appears to be an adequate air supply to all classrooms, work spaces, and facilities (i.e. no strong odor is present, air is not stuffy)
- e. Interior temperatures appear to be maintained within normally accepted ranges.
- f. The ventilation units are not generating any excessive noise or vibrations.
- g. Other

Sewer

Sewer line stoppage is not evident. Examples include but are not limited to the following:

- a. There are no obvious signs of flooding caused by sewer line back-up in the facilities or on the school grounds. (X)
- b. The sanitary system controls odors as designed.
- c. Other

Interior Surfaces (Floors, Ceilings, Walls, and Window Casings)

Interior surfaces appear to be clean, safe, and functional. Examples include but are not limited to the following:

- a. Walls are free of hazards from tears and holes.
- b. Flooring is free of hazards from torn carpeting, missing floor tiles, holes.
- c. Ceiling is free of hazards from missing ceiling tiles and holes.
- d. There is no evidence of water damage (e.g. no condensation, dampness, staining, warping, peeling, mineral deposits, etc.)
- e. Other

Overall Cleanliness

School grounds, buildings, common areas, surfaces, and individual rooms appear to have been cleaned regularly. Examples include but are not limited to the following:
a. Restrooms, drinking fountains, and food preparation or serving areas appear to have been cleaned each day that school is in session.

- b. An area should appear to be clean with minimal dirt, dust, or buildup. Floors and carpets should appear to have been swept or cleaned within the last week. Light fixtures and all bulbs are working properly. Facilities area adequately stocked and odor free. (OK)
- c. An area marked as "Deficiency" would appear to not have been cleaned in the last two weeks and carpet may look dull, matted, or stained. Corners of the room may have a recognizable amount of dirt or grime buildup. Floors do not appear to have been swept or vacuumed in two weeks. Some light fixtures are dirty and fewer than five percent of the bulbs have burned out. Daily trash has not been taken out. (D)
- d. An area marked as having an "Extreme Deficiency" would appear to be dirty, dingy, or scuffed with an evident buildup of dust, dirt, stains, or trash. Floors have not been swept or vacuumed in over two weeks. Light fixtures are dirty and more than five percent of the bulbs have burned out. There is trash overflow and the area being evaluated has a foul odor. (X)
- e. Area(s) evaluated is free of unabated graffiti.
- f. Other

Part IIb (Optional) - The Cleanliness Detail worksheet may be used to evaluate the Overall Cleanliness of each area. Based on Part IIb, use the following to complete Part IIa:

The district may choose how to report maintenance and custodial staff. The district may report staffing at the site or district level. Staffing may be based on assigned staff or represented as Full-Time Equivalent increments.

- a. If 75.0 percent or more of the review is "Yes", the area should be rated clean (OK).
- b. If 50 - 74.9 percent of the review is "Yes", the area should be rated "Deficient (D)".
- c. If 49.9 percent or less of the review is "Yes", the area should be rated Extreme Deficiency (X)

1. Floors swept, vacuumed, and/or mopped. Free of spots stains, and build up.
2. Walls and Doors free of spots and grime.
3. Desk and Counters clean.
4. Furniture dusted and clean.
5. Baseboards and window sills dusted and clean.
6. Light fixtures clean.
7. Sink clean and drains working properly.
8. Trash cans are empty and clean. The ground is free of trash. Floors and furniture are free of gum and/or other food residue.
9. Windows are free from damage, clean, and in working condition.
10. Water fountains, including handles/buttons, are clean and in working condition.
11. Toilets and bathroom sinks are clean and in working condition.
12. Mirrors and Hand Dryers are clean, intact, and in working condition.
13. Bathroom supplies are stocked and in working condition.
14. Area is free of graffiti.
15. Landscaping - Maintained sufficiently to not hinder student and staff.

Pest/Vermin Infestation

Pest or vermin infestation are not evident. Examples include but are not limited to the following:

- a. There is no evidence of a major pest or vermin infestation. (X)
- b. There are no holes in the walls, floors, or ceilings.
- c. Rodent droppings or insect skins are not evident.
- d. Odor caused by a pest or vermin infestation is not evident.
- e. There are no live rodents observed.
- f. Other

Electrical (Interior and Exterior)

1. There is no evidence that any portion of the school has a power failure. (X)
2. Electrical systems, components, and equipment appear to be working properly.
 - a. There are no exposed electrical wires. Electrical equipment is properly covered and secured from pupil access. (X)
 - b. Outlets, access panels, switch plates, junction boxes and fixtures are properly covered and secured from pupil access.
 - c. Other
3. Lighting appears to be adequate and working properly, including exterior lights.
Examples include but are not limited to the following:
 - a. Lighting appears to be adequate.
 - b. Lighting is not flickering.
 - c. There is no unusual hum or noise from the light fixtures.
 - d. Other

Restrooms

Restrooms in the vicinity of the area being evaluated appear to be accessible during school hours, clean, functional and in compliance with SB 892 (EC Section 35292.5) and AB 367 (EC Section 35292.6). The following are examples of compliance with SB 892 and AB 367:

- a. Restrooms are maintained and cleaned regularly.
- b. Restrooms are fully operational.
- c. Restrooms are stocked with toilet paper, menstrual products, soap, and paper towels.
- d. Restrooms are open during school hours.
- e. Other

Sinks/Fountains (Inside and Outside)

Drinking fountains appear to be accessible and functioning as intended.

Examples include but are not limited to the following:

- a. Drinking fountains are accessible.
- b. Water pressure is adequate.
- c. A leak is not evident.
- d. There is no moss, mold, or excessive staining on the fixtures.
- e. The water is clear and without unusual taste or odor.
- f. Other

Fire Safety

The fire equipment and emergency systems appear to be functioning properly.

Examples include but are not limited to the following:

- a. The fire sprinklers appear to be in working order (e.g., there are no missing or damaged sprinkler heads). (X)
- b. Emergency alarms appear to be functional. (X)
- c. Emergency exit signs function as designed, exits are unobstructed. (X)
- d. Fire extinguishers are current and placed in all required areas.
- e. Fire alarms pull stations are clearly visible.
- f. Other

Hazardous Materials (Interior and Exterior)

There does not appear to be evidence of hazardous materials that may pose a threat to pupils or staff. Examples include but are not limited to the following:

- a. Hazardous chemicals, chemical waste, and flammable materials are stored properly (e.g. locked and labeled properly). (X)
- b. Paint is not peeling, chipping, or cracking.

- c. There does not appear to be damaged tiles or other circumstances that may indicate asbestos exposure.
- d. Surfaces (including floors, ceilings, walls, window casings, HVAC grills) appear to be free of mildew, mold odor and visible mold.
- e. Other

Structural Damage

There does not appear to be structural damage that has created or could create hazardous or uninhabitable conditions. Examples include but are not limited to the following:

- a. Severe cracks are not evident. (X)
- b. Ceilings & floors are not sloping or sagging beyond their intended design. (X)
- c. Posts, beams, supports for portable classrooms, ramps, and other structural building members appear to be intact, secure and functional as designed. (X)
- d. There is no visible evidence of severe cracks, dry rot, mold, or damage that undermines the structural components. (X)
- e. Other

When completing Part III of the FIT, the inspector should note the date and time of the

Roofs (observed from the ground, inside/outside the building)

Roof systems appear to be functioning properly. Examples include but are not limited to the following:

- a. Roofs, gutters, roof drains, and down spouts are free of visible damage.
- b. Roofs, gutters, roof drains, and down spouts are intact.
- c. Other

Playground/School Grounds

The playground equipment and school grounds in the vicinity of the area being evaluated evaluated appear to be clean, safe, and functional. Examples include but are not limited to the following:

- a. Significant cracks, trip hazards, holes and deterioration are not found.
- b. Open "S" hooks, protruding bolt ends, and sharp points/edges are not found in the playground equipment.
- c. Seating, tables, and equipment are functional and free of significant cracks.
- d. There are no signs of drainage problems, such as flooded areas, eroded soil, water damage to asphalt, or clogged storm drain inlets.
- e. Other

Windows/Doors/Gates/Fences (Interior and exterior)

Conditions that pose a safety and/or security risk are not evident. Examples include but are not limited to the following:

- a. There is no exposed broken glass accessible to pupils and staff. (X)
- b. Exterior doors and gates are functioning and do not pose a security risk. (X)
- c. Windows are intact and free of cracks.
- d. Windows are functional and open, close, and lock as designed, unless there is a valid reason they should not function as designed.
- e. Doors are intact.
- f. Doors are functional and open, close, and lock as designed, unless there is a valid reason they should not function as designed.
- g. Gates and fences appear to be functional.
- h. Gates and fences are intact and free of holes and other conditions that could present a safety hazard to pupils, staff, or others.
- i. Other

PART IIa: EVALUATION DETAIL

Date of Inspection: 8/7/24

School Name: Mayacamas Countywide Middle School

		Carter Name: Maydew Middle School														
Building / Area Name	Estimated Square Footage	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15
		GAS LEAKS	MECH/HVAC	SEWER	INTERIOR SURFACES	OVERALL CLEANLINESS	PEST/VERMIN INFESTATION	ELECTRICAL	RESTROOM	SINKS/ FOUNTAINS	FIRE SAFETY	HAZARDOUS MATERIALS	STRUCTURAL DAMAGE	ROOFS	PLAYGROUND/ SCHOOL GROUNDS	WINDOWS/ DOORS/ GATES/FENCES
Main Building Restrooms		OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	D	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	N/A	OK
		COMMENTS:	The men's restroom has a toilet that is leaking and the women's restroom has three toilets that are leaking.													
Hall		OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	D	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	N/A	OK
		COMMENTS:	The light sensor cover in the men's restroom is broken and needs to be replaced.													
Playground/School Grounds		OK	N/A	OK	N/A	OK	OK	N/A	N/A	D	N/A	OK	OK	N/A	OK	OK
		COMMENTS:	The drinking fountain adjacent to the playground is not working.													
Main Building All Access Restroom		OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	N/A	OK
		COMMENTS:														
Main Building Offices		OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	N/A	N/A	OK	OK	OK	OK	N/A	OK
		COMMENTS:														
Classroom 1		OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	N/A	N/A	OK	OK	OK	OK	N/A	OK
		COMMENTS:														
Classroom 2		OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	D	N/A	N/A	OK	OK	OK	OK	N/A	OK
		COMMENTS:	Light blub is out and needs to be replaced in overhead lights.													
Classroom 3		OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	N/A	N/A	OK	OK	OK	OK	N/A	OK
		COMMENTS:														
Classroom 4		OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	N/A	N/A	OK	OK	OK	OK	N/A	OK
		COMMENTS:														
Classroom 5		OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	N/A	N/A	OK	OK	OK	OK	N/A	OK
		COMMENTS:														
District's Plan to Address:		The school will work with its landlord to repair these items.														
Deficiencies Noted in Prior Year?		N/A - 1st year of oversight using the FIT.														

Marks: OK = Good Repair; D = Deficiency; X = Extreme Deficiency; NA = Not Applicable
Use additional Area Lines as necessary.

PART IIa: EVALUATION DETAIL

Date of Inspection: **8/7/24**

School Name: **Mayacamas Countywide Middle School**

Building / Area Name	Estimated Square Footage	1 GAS LEAKS	2 MECH/HVAC	3 SEWER	4 INTERIOR SURFACES	5 OVERALL CLEANLINESS	6 PEST/VERMIN INFESTATION	7 ELECTRICAL	8 RESTROOM	9 SINKS/ FOUNTAINS	10 FIRE SAFETY	11 HAZARDOUS MATERIALS	12 STRUCTURAL DAMAGE	13 ROOFS	14 PLAYGROUND/ SCHOOL GROUNDS	15 WINDOWS/ DOORS/ GATES/FENCES
Classroom 6		OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	D	N/A	N/A	OK	OK	OK	OK	N/A	OK
		COMMENTS: Light blub is out and/or flickering and needs to be replaced in overhead lights.														
Classroom 7		OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	D	N/A	N/A	OK	OK	OK	OK	N/A	OK
		COMMENTS: Light blub is out and/or flickering and needs to be replaced in overhead lights.														
Classroom 8		OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	N/A	N/A	OK	OK	OK	OK	N/A	OK
		COMMENTS:														
Library		OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	N/A	N/A	OK	OK	OK	OK	N/A	OK
		COMMENTS:														
		COMMENTS:														
		COMMENTS:														
		COMMENTS:														
		COMMENTS:														
		COMMENTS:														
District's Plan to Address:		The school will work with its landlord to repair these items.														
Deficiencies Noted in Prior Year?		N/A - 1st year of overight using the FIT.														

Marks: OK = Good Repair; D = Deficiency; X = Extreme Deficiency; NA = Not Applicable
Use additional Area Lines as necessary.

SCHOOL DISTRICT/COUNTY OFFICE OF EDUCATION Mayacamas Countywide Charter School / Napa County Office of Education		COUNTY Napa	
SCHOOL SITE Mayacamas Countywide Middle School		SCHOOL TYPE (GRADE LEVELS) 6-8	NUMBER OF CLASSROOMS ON SITE: 8
INSPECTOR'S NAME Carolynne Beno		INSPECTOR'S TITLE Chief Analyst	
TOTAL ESTIMATED BUILDING VOLUME (CUBIC FEET):		NAME OF DISTRICT REPRESENTATIVE ACCOMPANYING THE INSPECTOR(S) (IF APPLICABLE) 1	
TOTAL ESTIMATED SITE SQUARE FOOTAGE / ACREAGE:		TIME OF INSPECTION 9:00 a.m.	
TOTAL ESTIMATED BUILDING SQUARE FOOTAGE:		WEATHER CONDITION AT TIME OF INSPECTION Clear, Sunny, 78 Degrees	
		SITE ENROLLMENT 121	

PART III: CATEGORY TOTALS AND RANKING (round all calculations to two decimal places)

TOTAL NUMBER OF AREAS EVALUATED ↓	CATEGORY TOTALS	A. SYSTEMS			B. INTERIOR	C. CLEANLINESS		D. ELECTRICAL	E. RESTROOMS/FOUNTAINS		F. SAFETY		G. STRUCTURAL		H. EXTERNAL		
		GAS LEAKS	MECH/HVAC	SEWER	INTERIOR SURFACES	OVERALL CLEANLINESS	PEST/VERMIN INFESTATION	ELECTRICAL	RESTROOMS	SINKS/ FOUNTAINS	FIRE SAFETY	HAZARDOUS MATERIALS	STRUCTURAL DAMAGE	ROOFS	PLAYGROUND/ SCHOOL GROUNDS	WINDOWS/DOORS/ GATES/FENCES	
		Number of "OK"s:	14	13	14	13	14	14	9	2	3	13	14	14	13	1	14
		Number of "D"s:	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Number of "X"s:	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Number of N/As:	0	1	0	1	0	0	1	11	10	1	0	0	1	13	0	
Percent of System in Good Repair Number of "OK"s divided by (Total Areas - "NA"s)*		100	100	100	100	100	100	69.23	66.67	75	100	100	100	100	100	100	
Total Percent per Category (average of above)*		100	100			100	100		69.23	70.84		100		100	100		
Rank (Circle one) GOOD = 90%-100% FAIR = 75%-89.99% POOR = 0%-74.99%		Good			Good	Good		Poor	Poor		Good		Good		Good		

*Note: An extreme deficiency in any area automatically results in a "poor" ranking for that category and a zero for "Total Percent per Category".

OVERALL RATING:

DETERMINE AVERAGE PERCENTAGE OF 8 CATEGORIES ABOVE →

92.51

SCHOOL RATING** →

Good

**For School Rating, apply the Percentage Range below to the average percentage determined above, taking into account the rating Description below.

PERCENTAGE	DESCRIPTION	RATING
99%-100%	The school meets most or all standards of good repair. Deficiencies noted, if any, are not significant and/or impact a very small area of the school.	EXEMPLARY
90%-98.99%	The school is maintained in good repair with a number of non-critical deficiencies noted. These deficiencies are isolated, and/or resulting from minor wear and tear, and/or in the process of being mitigated.	GOOD
75 %-89.99%	The school is not in good repair. Some deficiencies noted are critical and/or widespread. Repairs and/or additional maintenance are necessary in several areas of the school site.	FAIR
0%-74.99%	The school facilities are in poor condition. Deficiencies of various degrees have been noted throughout the site. Major repairs and maintenance are necessary throughout the campus.	POOR

INSPECTOR'S COMMENTS AND

RATING EXPLANATION:

See attached oversight letter.

DISTRICT'S RESPONSES TO REPORT (Attach additional pages if necessary):